

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

<p>Robin Forslund, <i>on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated</i>,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>R.R. Donnelley &amp; Sons Company,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No.</p> <p><b><u>COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION</u></b></p> <p><b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b></p>
---	---

Plaintiff Robin Forslund (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint against R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company (“Defendant”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (“Class Members”), and alleges, upon personal knowledge as to his own actions and his counsel’s investigations, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

1. Plaintiff brings this class action against Defendant for its failure to properly secure and safeguard personally identifiable information including, but not limited to, at least Social Security number and date of birth (collectively, “Private Information” or “PII”).

2. Defendant is an American Fortune 500 integrated communications company that provides marketing and business communications, commercial printing and related services. Its corporate headquarters are located in Chicago, Illinois. <sup>1</sup>

3. On December 23, 2021, Defendant identified a systems intrusion into its network (the “Data Breach”). Defendant implemented a series of containment measures to address the

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://fortune.com/company/r-r-donnelley-sons/fortune500/> (last visited: August 11, 2022).

situation, including activating incident response protocols, shutting down servers and systems, and commencing a forensic investigation.<sup>2</sup>

4. Upon investigation, Defendant determined that outside actors first accessed Defendant's systems on November 29, 2021. On July 12, 2021, Defendant learned that Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information was disclosed in the Data Breach.

5. Defendant reviewed the data that was obtained in the Data Breach and Defendant confirmed that the data contained PII, such as Social Security number and date of birth.

6. Despite learning of the Data Breach in December 2021, Defendant did not begin notifying Plaintiff and Class Members until on or around August 5, 2022. Defendant delayed in sending notice of the Data Breach even though Defendant is well aware of the need to move quickly in responding to Data breach events.

7. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered ascertainable losses in the form of the loss of the benefit of their bargain, out-of-pocket expenses, and the value of their time reasonably incurred to remedy or mitigate the effects of the attack and the substantial and imminent risk of identity theft.

8. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties to those individuals to protect and safeguard that information from unauthorized access and intrusion. Defendant admits that the unencrypted Private Information impacted during the Data Breach.

9. The exposed Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members can—and likely will—be sold on the dark web. Hackers can offer for sale the unencrypted, unredacted Private Information to criminals. Plaintiff and Class Members now face a lifetime risk of identity theft,

---

<sup>2</sup> See Notice of Data Breach Letter attached as Exhibit 1.

which is heightened here by the loss of Social Security numbers – the gold standard for identity thieves.

10. This Private Information was compromised due to Defendant's negligent and/or careless acts and omissions and the failure to protect the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members. In addition to Defendant's failure to prevent the Data Breach, after discovering the breach, Defendant waited several months to report it to government agencies and affected individuals.

11. As a result of this delayed response, Plaintiff and Class Members had no idea their Private Information had been compromised, and that they were, and continue to be, at significant risk of identity theft and various other forms of personal, social, and financial harm. The risk will remain for their respective lifetimes.

12. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all persons whose Private Information was compromised as a result of Defendant's failure to: (i) adequately protect the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members; (ii) warn Plaintiff and Class Members of Defendant's inadequate information security practices; and (iii) effectively secure hardware containing protected Private Information using reasonable and effective security procedures free of vulnerabilities and incidents. Defendant's conduct amounts to negligence and violates federal and state statutes.

13. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered injury as a result of Defendant's conduct. These injuries include: (i) lost or diminished value of Private Information; (ii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their Private Information; (iii) lost time and opportunity costs associated with attempting to mitigate the actual consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to lost time, and (iv) the continued and substantially increased risk to their Private

Information which: (a) remains unencrypted and available for unauthorized third parties to access and abuse; and (b) may remain backed up in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the Private Information.

14. Defendant disregarded the rights of Plaintiff and Class Members by intentionally, willfully, recklessly, and/or negligently failing to take and implement adequate and reasonable measures to ensure that the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members was safeguarded, failing to take available steps to prevent an unauthorized disclosure of data, and failing to follow applicable, required, and appropriate protocols, policies and procedures regarding the encryption of data, even for internal use. As the result, the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members was compromised through disclosure to an unknown and unauthorized third party. Plaintiff and Class Members have a continuing interest in ensuring that their information is and remains safe, and they should be entitled to injunctive and other equitable relief.

#### **PARTIES**

15. Plaintiff Robin Forslund is a Citizen of Michigan residing in Flint, Michigan.

16. Defendant R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, and its United States headquarters and principal place of business is located at 35 W. Wacker, 36th Floor, Chicago, IL 60601.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because this is a class action wherein the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, there are more than 100 members in the proposed class,

and at least one member of the class, including Plaintiff, is a citizen of a state different from Defendant.

18. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its principal place of business is in this District and the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in and emanated from this District.

19. Venue is proper under 18 U.S.C § 1391(b)(1) because Defendant's principal place of business is in this District.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### ***Background***

20. Plaintiff and Class Members directly or indirectly entrusted Defendant with sensitive and confidential information, including their PII which includes information that is static, does not change, and can be used to commit myriad financial crimes.

21. Plaintiff and Class Members relied on Defendant to keep their Private Information confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for business purposes only, and to make only authorized disclosures of this information. Plaintiff and Class Members demand Defendant safeguard their Private Information.

22. Defendant had a duty to adopt reasonable measures to protect the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members from involuntary disclosure to third parties.

23. On information and belief, Defendant maintains the Private Information of patients and customers, including but not limited to: (1) date of birth and (2) Social Security numbers.

24. The unencrypted PII of Plaintiff and Class Members will likely end up for sale on the dark web as that is the *modus operandi* of hackers. In addition, unencrypted PII may fall into the hands of companies that will use the detailed PII for targeted marketing without the approval

of Plaintiff and Class Members. In turn, unauthorized individuals can easily access the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

25. Defendant did not use reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the sensitive, unencrypted information they were maintaining for Plaintiff and Class Members, causing the exposure of Private Information.

26. As explained by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, “[p]revention is the most effective defense against ransomware and it is critical to take precautions for protection.”<sup>3</sup>

***Defendant Acquires, Collects, and Stores the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members***

27. Defendant acquired, collected, and stored the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

28. By obtaining, collecting, and storing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that it was responsible for protecting the PII from disclosure.

29. Plaintiff and Class Members have taken reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of their PII and PHI and relied on Defendant to keep their PII confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for business purposes only, and to make only authorized disclosures of this information.

***Securing Private Information and Preventing Breaches***

30. Defendant could have prevented this Data Breach by properly securing and encrypting the systems containing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members. Alternatively, Defendant could have destroyed the data, especially for individuals with whom it had not had a

---

<sup>3</sup>See How to Protect Your Networks from RANSOMWARE, at 3, available at <https://www.fbi.gov/file-repository/ransomware-prevention-and-response-for-cisos.pdf/view> (last visited: Aug. 23, 2021).

relationship for a period of time.

31. Defendant's negligence in safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members is exacerbated by the repeated warnings and alerts directed to companies like Defendant to protect and secure sensitive data they possess.

32. Despite the prevalence of public announcements of data breach and data security compromises, Defendant failed to take appropriate steps to protect the PII and PHI of Plaintiff and Class Members from being compromised.

33. The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") defines identity theft as "a fraud committed or attempted using the identifying information of another person without authority."<sup>4</sup> The FTC describes "identifying information" as "any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person," including, among other things, "[n]ame, Social Security number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver's license or identification number, alien registration number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification number."<sup>5</sup>

34. The ramifications of Defendant's failure to keep secure the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members are long lasting and severe. Once PII is stolen, particularly Social Security numbers, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years.

### ***Value of PII***

35. The PII of individuals remains of high value to criminals, as evidenced by the prices they will pay through the dark web. Numerous sources cite dark web pricing for stolen identity credentials. For example, personal information can be sold at a price ranging from \$40 to \$200,

---

<sup>4</sup> 17 C.F.R. § 248.201 (2013).

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

and bank details have a price range of \$50 to \$200.<sup>6</sup> Experian reports that a stolen credit or debit card number can sell for \$5 to \$110 on the dark web.<sup>7</sup> Criminals can also purchase access to entire company data breaches from \$900 to \$4,500.<sup>8</sup>

36. Social Security numbers, for example, are among the worst kind of personal information to have stolen because they may be put to a variety of fraudulent uses and are difficult for an individual to change. The Social Security Administration stresses that the loss of an individual's Social Security number, as is the case here, can lead to identity theft and extensive financial fraud:

A dishonest person who has your Social Security number can use it to get other personal information about you. Identity thieves can use your number and your good credit to apply for more credit in your name. Then, they use the credit cards and don't pay the bills, it damages your credit. You may not find out that someone is using your number until you're turned down for credit, or you begin to get calls from unknown creditors demanding payment for items you never bought. Someone illegally using your Social Security number and assuming your identity can cause a lot of problems.<sup>9</sup>

37. What is more, it is no easy task to change or cancel a stolen Social Security number. An individual cannot obtain a new Social Security number without significant paperwork and evidence of actual misuse. In other words, preventive action to defend against the possibility of misuse of a Social Security number is not permitted; an individual must show evidence of actual, ongoing fraud activity to obtain a new number.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Your personal data is for sale on the dark web. Here's how much it costs*, Digital Trends, Oct. 16, 2019, available at: <https://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/personal-data-sold-on-the-dark-web-how-much-it-costs/> (last accessed Oct. 27, 2021).

<sup>7</sup> *Here's How Much Your Personal Information Is Selling for on the Dark Web*, Experian, Dec. 6, 2017, available at: <https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/heres-how-much-your-personal-information-is-selling-for-on-the-dark-web/> (last accessed Oct. 27, 2021).

<sup>8</sup> *In the Dark*, VPNOverview, 2019, available at: <https://vpnoverview.com/privacy/anonymous-browsing/in-the-dark/> (last accessed Oct. 27, 2021).

<sup>9</sup> Social Security Administration, *Identity Theft and Your Social Security Number*, available at: <https://www.ssa.gov/pubs/EN-05-10064.pdf> (last accessed Oct. 27, 2021).

38. Even then, a new Social Security number may not be effective. According to Julie Ferguson of the Identity Theft Resource Center, “The credit bureaus and banks are able to link the new number very quickly to the old number, so all of that old bad information is quickly inherited into the new Social Security number.”<sup>10</sup>

39. Based on the foregoing, the information compromised in the Data Breach is significantly more valuable than the loss of, for example, credit card information in a retailer data breach because, there, victims can cancel or close credit and debit card accounts. The information compromised in this Data Breach is impossible to “close” and difficult, if not impossible, to change—Social Security number and name.

40. This data demands a much higher price on the black market. Martin Walter, senior director at cybersecurity firm RedSeal, explained, “Compared to credit card information, personally identifiable information and Social Security numbers are worth more than 10x on the black market.”<sup>11</sup>

41. Among other forms of fraud, identity thieves may obtain driver’s licenses, government benefits, medical services, and housing or even give false information to police.

42. Moreover, there may be a time lag between when harm occurs versus when it is discovered, and also between when PII is stolen and when it is used. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

[L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may

---

<sup>10</sup> Bryan Naylor, *Victims of Social Security Number Theft Find It’s Hard to Bounce Back*, NPR (Feb. 9, 2015), available at: <http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-has-millionsworrying-about-identity-theft> (last accessed Oct. 27, 2021).

<sup>11</sup> Time Greene, *Anthem Hack: Personal Data Stolen Sells for 10x Price of Stolen Credit Card Numbers*, IT World, (Feb. 6, 2015), available at: <https://www.networkworld.com/article/2880366/anthem-hack-personal-data-stolen-sells-for-10x-price-of-stolen-credit-card-numbers.html> (last accessed Aug. 23, 2021).

continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.<sup>12</sup>

43. At all relevant times, Defendant knew, or reasonably should have known, of the importance of safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, including Social Security numbers, and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if Defendant's data security system was breached, including, specifically, the significant costs that would be imposed on Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of a breach.

44. Plaintiff and Class Members now face years of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss of rights. The Class is incurring and will continue to incur such damages in addition to any fraudulent use of their PII.

45. Defendant was, or should have been, fully aware of the unique type and the significant volume of data on Defendant's network, amounting to potentially millions of individuals' detailed, personal information and, thus, the significant number of individuals who would be harmed by the exposure of the unencrypted data.

46. To date, Defendant has offered Plaintiff and Class Members only 12 months of identity and credit monitoring services through Experian. The offered service is inadequate to protect Plaintiff and Class Members from the threats they face for years to come, particularly in light of the PII at issue here. Moreover, Defendant put the burden squarely on Plaintiff and Class Members to enroll in the inadequate monitoring services.

47. The injuries to Plaintiff and Class Members were directly and proximately caused by Defendant's failure to implement or maintain adequate data security measures for the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

---

<sup>12</sup> *Report to Congressional Requesters*, GAO, at 29 (June 2007), available at: <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-07-737.pdf> (last accessed Aug. 23, 2021).

48. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from Plaintiff and Class Members' Private Information, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that it was responsible for protecting Plaintiff' and Class Members' Private Information from unauthorized disclosure.

49. Plaintiff and the Class Members have taken reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of their Private Information.

50. Plaintiff and the Class Members relied on Defendant to implement and follow adequate data security policies and protocols, to keep their Private Information confidential and securely maintained, to use such Private Information solely for business and health care purposes, and to prevent the unauthorized disclosures of the Private Information.

***Defendant failed to properly protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information***

51. To prevent and detect unauthorized cyber-attacks, Defendant could and should have implemented, as recommended by the United States Government, the following measures:

- Implement an awareness and training program. Because end users are targets, employees and individuals should be aware of the threat of ransomware and how it is delivered.
- Enable strong spam filters to prevent phishing emails from reaching the end users and authenticate inbound email using technologies like Sender Policy Framework (SPF), Domain Message Authentication Reporting and Conformance (DMARC), and DomainKeys Identified Mail (DKIM) to prevent email spoofing.
- Scan all incoming and outgoing emails to detect threats and filter executable files from reaching end users.
- Configure firewalls to block access to known malicious IP addresses.
- Patch operating systems, software, and firmware on devices. Consider using a centralized patch management system.
- Set anti-virus and anti-malware programs to conduct regular scans automatically.
- Manage the use of privileged accounts based on the principle of least privilege: no users should be assigned administrative access unless absolutely needed; and those

with a need for administrator accounts should only use them when necessary.

- Configure access controls—including file, directory, and network share permissions—with least privilege in mind. If a user only needs to read specific files, the user should not have write access to those files, directories, or shares.
- Disable macro scripts from office files transmitted via email. Consider using Office Viewer software to open Microsoft Office files transmitted via email instead of full office suite applications.
- Implement Software Restriction Policies (SRP) or other controls to prevent programs from executing from common ransomware locations, such as temporary folders supporting popular Internet browsers or compression/decompression programs, including the AppData/LocalAppData folder.
- Consider disabling Remote Desktop protocol (RDP) if it is not being used.
- Use application whitelisting, which only allows systems to execute programs known and permitted by security policy.
- Execute operating system environments or specific programs in a virtualized environment
- Categorize data based on organizational value and implement physical and logical separation of networks and data for different organizational units.<sup>13</sup>

52. To prevent and detect cyber-attacks, including the cyber-attack that resulted in the Data Breach, Defendant could and should have implemented, as recommended by the United States Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, the following measures:

- **Update and patch your computer.** Ensure your applications and operating systems (OSs) have been updated with the latest patches. Vulnerable applications and OSs are the target of most ransomware attacks....
- **Use caution with links and when entering website addresses.** Be careful when clicking directly on links in emails, even if the sender appears to be someone you know. Attempt to independently verify website addresses (e.g., contact your organization's helpdesk, search the internet for the sender organization's website or the topic mentioned in the email). Pay attention to the website addresses you click on, as well as those you enter yourself. Malicious website addresses often appear almost identical to legitimate sites, often using a slight variation in spelling or a different domain (e.g., .com instead of .net)....

---

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* at 3-4.

- **Open email attachments with caution.** Be wary of opening email attachments, even from senders you think you know, particularly when attachments are compressed files or ZIP files.
- **Keep your personal information safe.** Check a website's security to ensure the information you submit is encrypted before you provide it....
- **Verify email senders.** If you are unsure whether or not an email is legitimate, try to verify the email's legitimacy by contacting the sender directly. Do not click on any links in the email. If possible, use a previous (legitimate) email to ensure the contact information you have for the sender is authentic before you contact them.
- **Inform yourself.** Keep yourself informed about recent cybersecurity threats and up to date on ransomware techniques. You can find information about known phishing attacks on the Anti-Phishing Working Group website. You may also want to sign up for CISA product notifications, which will alert you when a new Alert, Analysis Report, Bulletin, Current Activity, or Tip has been published.
- **Use and maintain preventative software programs.** Install antivirus software, firewalls, and email filters—and keep them updated—to reduce malicious network traffic....<sup>14</sup>

53. To prevent and detect cyber-attacks, including the cyber-attack that resulted in the Data Breach, Defendant could and should have implemented, as recommended by the Microsoft Threat Protection Intelligence Team, the following measures:

**Secure internet-facing assets**

- Apply latest security updates
- Use threat and vulnerability management
- Perform regular audit; remove privileged credentials;

**Thoroughly investigate and remediate alerts**

- Prioritize and treat commodity malware infections as potential full compromise;

**Include IT Pros in security discussions**

- Ensure collaboration among [security operations], [security admins], and [information technology] admins to configure servers and other endpoints

---

<sup>14</sup> See Security Tip (ST19-001) Protecting Against Ransomware (original release date Apr. 11, 2019), available at <https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ncas/tips/ST19-001> (last accessed Aug. 23, 2021).

securely;

### **Build credential hygiene**

- Use [multifactor authentication] or [network level authentication] and use strong, randomized, just-in-time local admin passwords

### **Apply principle of least-privilege**

- Monitor for adversarial activities
- Hunt for brute force attempts
- Monitor for cleanup of Event Logs
- Analyze logon events

### **Harden infrastructure**

- Use Windows Defender Firewall
- Enable tamper protection
- Enable cloud-delivered protection
- Turn on attack surface reduction rules and [Antimalware Scan Interface] for Office [Visual Basic for Applications].<sup>15</sup>

54. Given that Defendant was storing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant could and should have implemented all of the above measures to prevent and detect cyberattacks.

55. The occurrence of the Data Breach indicates that Defendant failed to adequately implement one or more of the above measures to prevent cyberattacks, resulting in the Data Breach and the exposure of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

56. As the result of computer systems in need of security upgrades, inadequate procedures for handling email phishing attacks, viruses, malignant computer code, hacking attacks, Defendant negligently and unlawfully failed to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private

---

<sup>15</sup> See Human-operated ransomware attacks: A preventable disaster (Mar 5, 2020), available at <https://www.microsoft.com/security/blog/2020/03/05/human-operated-ransomware-attacks-a-preventable-disaster/> (last accessed Aug. 23, 2021).

Information.

57. Defendant sent Plaintiff and Class Members a Notice of Data Breach Letter on or around August 5, 2022. The Notice of Data Breach Letter informed Plaintiff and Class Members that:

**WHAT HAPPENED?**

On December 23, 2021, [Defendant] identified a systems intrusion in our technical environment. We promptly implemented a series of containment measures to address this situation, including activating our incident response protocols, shutting down our servers and systems and commencing a forensic investigation. We took immediate action to isolate the incident. We determined that outside actors first accessed [Defendant] systems on November 29, 2021, but it was not initially clear whether any personal data had been accessed or removed. However, on July 12, 2022, we learned that your information appears to have been exfiltrated from our corporate data system.

**WHAT INFORMATION WAS INVOLVED?**

The personal information was your Social Security Number, Date of Birth.

**WHAT ARE WE DOING?**

Shortly after discovering the intrusion, [Defendant] engaged forensic resources and other third parties to assist in its evaluation and shut down all impacted servers. While our investigation is complete, remediation efforts have been ongoing since discovery of the intrusion. [Defendant] believes to the best of its knowledge that the intrusion has been removed and effective controls have been further implemented to prevent additional incidents from the attacker. Our information security response team and application quality assurance team have performed testing on our data environment prior to these systems being restored.

58. Defendant admitted that Private Information potentially impacted in the Data Breach contained Social Security number and dates of birth.

59. Because Defendant failed to properly protect safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information, an unauthorized third party was able to access Defendant's network, and access Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information stored on Defendant's system.

***Plaintiff Robin Forslund***

60. Plaintiff entrusted his Private Information to Defendant.

61. Plaintiff was a former employee of Defendant. In connection with his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was required to and did entrust her Private Information to Defendant.

62. Prior to the Data Breach, Defendant retained Plaintiff's Social Security number and date of birth.

63. Plaintiff provided his Private Information to Defendant and trusted that the information would be safeguarded according to internal policies and state and federal law.

64. On August 5, 2022, Defendant notified Plaintiff that Defendant's network had been accessed and Plaintiff's Private Information may have been involved in the Data Breach.

65. Plaintiff is very careful about sharing his sensitive Private Information. Plaintiff has never knowingly transmitted unencrypted sensitive PII over the internet or any other unsecured source.

66. Plaintiff stores any documents containing his sensitive PII in a safe and secure location or destroys the documents. Moreover, Plaintiff diligently chooses unique usernames and passwords for his various online accounts.

67. As a result of the Data Breach notice, Plaintiff spent time dealing with the consequences of the Data Breach, which includes time spent verifying the legitimacy of the Notice of Data Breach, self-monitoring his accounts and credit reports to ensure no fraudulent activity has occurred. This time has been lost forever and cannot be recaptured. Moreover, this time was spent at Defendant's direction by way of the Data Breach notice where Defendant advised Plaintiff to mitigate his damages by, among other things, monitoring his accounts for fraudulent activity.

68. Plaintiff suffered actual injury in the form of damages to and diminution in the value of Plaintiff's Private Information—a form of intangible property that Plaintiff entrusted to

Defendant, which was compromised in and as a result of the Data Breach. Plaintiff suffered lost time, annoyance, interference, and inconvenience as a result of the Data Breach and has anxiety and increased concerns for the loss of his privacy.

69. Plaintiff has suffered imminent and impending injury arising from the substantially increased risk of fraud, identity theft, and misuse resulting from her PII being placed in the hands of unauthorized third parties and possibly criminals.

70. Plaintiff has a continuing interest in ensuring that Plaintiff's PII, which, upon information and belief, remain backed up in Defendant's possession, is protected, and safeguarded from future breaches.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

71. Plaintiff brings this nationwide class action on behalf of herself and on behalf of others similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2), 23(b)(3), and 23(c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

72. The Nationwide Class that Plaintiff seek to represent is defined as follows:

All United States residents whose Private Information was actually or potentially accessed or acquired during the Data Breach event that is the subject of the Notice of Data Breach that Defendant published to Plaintiff and other Class Members on or around August 5, 2022 (the "Class").

73. Excluded from the Classes are the following individuals and/or entities: Defendant and Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; all individuals who make a timely election to be excluded from this proceeding using the correct protocol for opting out; any and all federal, state or local governments, including but not limited to their departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, boards, sections, groups, counsels and/or subdivisions; and all judges assigned to hear any aspect of this litigation, as well as their immediate family members.

74. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed classes before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

75. Numerosity, Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1): Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Upon information and belief, there are hundreds, if not thousands, of individuals whose PII may have been improperly accessed in the Data Breach, and each Class is apparently identifiable within Defendant's records.

76. Commonality, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3): Questions of law and fact common to the Classes exist and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. These include:

- a. Whether and to what extent Defendant had a duty to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- b. Whether Defendant had duties not to disclose the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members to unauthorized third parties;
- c. Whether Defendant had duties not to use the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members for non-business purposes;
- d. Whether Defendant failed to adequately safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- e. Whether and when Defendant actually learned of the Data Breach;
- f. Whether Defendant adequately, promptly, and accurately informed Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
- g. Whether Defendant violated the law by failing to promptly notify Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
- h. Whether Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures

and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Data Breach;

- i. Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities which permitted the Data Breach to occur;
- j. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- k. Whether Defendant violated the consumer protection statutes invoked herein;
- l. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to actual, consequential, and/or nominal damages as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct;
- m. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct; and
- n. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief to redress the imminent and currently ongoing harm faced as a result of the Data Breach.

77. Typicality, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3): Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other Class Members because all had their PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach, due to Defendant's misfeasance.

78. Policies Generally Applicable to the Class: This class action is also appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the Class Members and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole. Defendant's policies challenged herein apply to and affect Class Members uniformly and Plaintiff's challenge of these policies hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class as a whole, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiff.

79. Adequacy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4): Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members in that Plaintiff has no disabling conflicts of interest that would be antagonistic to those of the other Members of the Class. Plaintiff seeks no relief that is antagonistic or adverse to the Members of the Class and the infringement of the rights and the damages Plaintiff has suffered are typical of other Class Members. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously.

80. Superiority and Manageability, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3): Class litigation is an appropriate method for fair and efficient adjudication of the claims involved. Class action treatment is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy alleged herein; it will permit a large number of Class Members to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, and expense that hundreds of individual actions would require. Class action treatment will permit the adjudication of relatively modest claims by certain Class Members, who could not individually afford to litigate a complex claim against large corporations, like Defendant. Further, even for those Class Members who could afford to litigate such a claim, it would still be economically impractical and impose a burden on the courts.

81. The nature of this action and the nature of laws available to Plaintiff and Class Members make the use of the class action device a particularly efficient and appropriate procedure to afford relief to Plaintiff and Class Members for the wrongs alleged because Defendant would necessarily gain an unconscionable advantage since they would be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited resources of each individual Class Member with superior financial and legal resources; the costs of individual suits could unreasonably consume the amounts that would be recovered;

proof of a common course of conduct to which Plaintiff were exposed is representative of that experienced by the Class and will establish the right of each Class Member to recover on the cause of action alleged; and individual actions would create a risk of inconsistent results and would be unnecessary and duplicative of this litigation.

82. The litigation of the claims brought herein is manageable. Defendant's uniform conduct, the consistent provisions of the relevant laws, and the ascertainable identities of Class Members demonstrates that there would be no significant manageability problems with prosecuting this lawsuit as a class action.

83. Adequate notice can be given to Class Members directly using information maintained in Defendant's records.

84. Unless a Class-wide injunction is issued, Defendant may continue in their failure to properly secure the PII of Class Members, Defendant may continue to refuse to provide proper notification to Class Members regarding the Data Breach, and Defendant may continue to act unlawfully as set forth in this Complaint.

85. Further, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes and, accordingly, final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to the Class Members as a whole is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

86. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 23(c)(4) are appropriate for certification because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein. Such particular issues include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise

- due care in collecting, storing, using, and safeguarding their PII;
- b. Whether Defendant breached a legal duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using, and safeguarding their PII;
  - c. Whether Defendant failed to comply with its own policies and applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards relating to data security;
  - d. Whether an implied contract existed between Defendant on the one hand, and Plaintiff and Class Members on the other, and the terms of that implied contract;
  - e. Whether Defendant breached the implied contract;
  - f. Whether Defendant adequately and accurately informed Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
  - g. Whether Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Data Breach;
  - h. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
  - i. Whether Class Members are entitled to actual, consequential, and/or nominal damages, and/or injunctive relief as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **COUNT I NEGLIGENCE**

#### **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Putative Rule 23 Class)**

87. Plaintiff and the Class repeat and re-allege each and every allegation in the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
88. Plaintiff and the Class entrusted Defendant with their PII.

89. Plaintiff and the Class entrusted their PII to Defendant on the premise and with the understanding that Defendant would safeguard their information, use their PII for business purposes only, and/or not disclose their PII to unauthorized third parties.

90. Defendant has full knowledge of the sensitivity of the PII and the types of harm that Plaintiff and the Class could and would suffer if the PII were wrongfully disclosed.

91. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that the failure to exercise due care in the collecting, storing, and using of the PII of Plaintiff and the Class involved an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff and the Class, even if the harm occurred through the criminal acts of a third party.

92. Defendant had a duty to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding, securing, and protecting such information from being compromised, lost, stolen, misused, and/or disclosed to unauthorized parties. This duty includes, among other things, designing, maintaining, and testing Defendant's security protocols to ensure that the PII of Plaintiff and the Classes in Defendant's possession was adequately secured and protected.

93. Defendant also had a duty to exercise appropriate clearinghouse practices to remove PII they were no longer required to retain pursuant to regulations.

94. Defendant also had a duty to have procedures in place to detect and prevent the improper access and misuse of the PII of Plaintiff and the Class.

95. Defendant's duty to use reasonable security measures arose as a result of the special relationship that existed between Defendant and Plaintiff and the Class. That special relationship arose because Plaintiff and the Class entrusted Defendant with their confidential PII, a necessary part of obtaining services from Defendant.

96. Defendant was subject to an "independent duty," untethered to any contract

between Defendant and Plaintiff or the Class.

97. A breach of security, unauthorized access, and resulting injury to Plaintiff and the Class was reasonably foreseeable, particularly in light of Defendant's inadequate security practices.

98. Plaintiff and the Class were the foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices and procedures. Defendant knew or should have known of the inherent risks in collecting and storing the PII of Plaintiff and the Class, the critical importance of providing adequate security of that PII, and the necessity for encrypting PII stored on Defendant's systems.

99. Defendant's own conduct created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff and the Class. Defendant's misconduct included, but was not limited to, their failure to take the steps and opportunities to prevent the Data Breach as set forth herein. Defendant's misconduct also included their decisions not to comply with industry standards for the safekeeping of the PII of Plaintiff and the Class, including basic encryption techniques freely available to Defendant.

100. Plaintiff and the Class had no ability to protect their PII that was in, and possibly remains in, Defendant's possession.

101. Defendant was in a position to protect against the harm suffered by Plaintiff and the Class as a result of the Data Breach.

102. Defendant had and continue to have a duty to adequately disclose that the PII of Plaintiff and the Class within Defendant's possession might have been compromised, how it was compromised, and precisely the types of data that were compromised and when. Such notice was necessary to allow Plaintiff and the Class to take steps to prevent, mitigate, and repair any identity theft and the fraudulent use of their PII by third parties.

103. Defendant had a duty to employ proper procedures to prevent the unauthorized

dissemination of the PII of Plaintiff and the Class.

104. Defendant has admitted that the PII of Plaintiff and the Class was wrongfully lost and disclosed to unauthorized third persons as a result of the Data Breach.

105. Defendant, through their actions and/or omissions, unlawfully breached their duties to Plaintiff and the Class by failing to implement industry protocols and exercise reasonable care in protecting and safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and the Class during the time the PII was within Defendant's possession or control.

106. Defendant improperly and inadequately safeguarded the PII of Plaintiff and the Class in deviation of standard industry rules, regulations, and practices at the time of the Data Breach.

107. Defendant failed to heed industry warnings and alerts to provide adequate safeguards to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Class in the face of increased risk of theft.

108. Defendant, through its actions and/or omissions, unlawfully breached their duty to Plaintiff and the Class by failing to have appropriate procedures in place to detect and prevent dissemination of PII.

109. Defendant breached its duty to exercise appropriate clearinghouse practices by failing to remove PII they were no longer required to retain pursuant to regulations.

110. Defendant, through its actions and/or omissions, unlawfully breached their duty to adequately and timely disclose to Plaintiff and the Class the existence and scope of the Data Breach.

111. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breach of duties owed to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, the PII of Plaintiff and the Class would not have been compromised.

112. There is a close causal connection between Defendant's failure to implement

security measures to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Class and the harm, or risk of imminent harm, suffered by Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class. The PII of Plaintiff and the Class was lost and accessed as the proximate result of Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding such PII by adopting, implementing, and maintaining appropriate security measures.

113. Additionally, Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce," including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by businesses, such as Defendant, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the basis of Defendant's duty in this regard.

114. Defendant violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII and not complying with applicable industry standards, as described in detail herein. Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII it obtained and stored and the foreseeable consequences of the immense damages that would result to Plaintiff and the Class.

115. Defendant's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence *per se*.

116. Plaintiff and the Class are within the class of persons that the FTC Act was intended to protect.

117. The harm that occurred as a result of the Data Breach is the type of harm the FTC Act was intended to guard against. The FTC has pursued enforcement actions against businesses, which, as a result of their failure to employ reasonable data security measures and avoid unfair and deceptive practices, caused the same harm as that suffered by Plaintiff and the Class.

118. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) actual

identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity of how their PII is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PII; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the present and continuing consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from tax fraud and identity theft; (vi) costs associated with placing freezes on credit reports; (vii) the continued risk to their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Class; and (viii) present and continuing costs in terms of time, effort, and money that has been and will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Plaintiff and the Class.

119. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm, including, but not limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy, and other economic and non-economic losses.

120. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will suffer the continued risks of exposure of their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII in its continued possession.

121. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*,

Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover actual, consequential, and nominal damages.

**COUNT II**  
**Breach of Implied Contract**  
**(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Putative Rule 23 Class)**

122. Plaintiff and the Class repeat and re-allege each and every allegation in the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

123. Plaintiff and the Class entrusted their PII to Defendant. In so doing, Plaintiff and the Class entered into implied contracts with Defendant by which Defendant agreed to safeguard and protect such information, to keep such information secure and confidential, and to timely and accurately notify Plaintiff and the Class if their data had been breached and compromised or stolen.

124. In its Privacy Policy, Defendant represented that they had a legal duty to protect Plaintiff's and Class Member's PII.

125. Plaintiff and the Class fully performed their obligations under the implied contracts with Defendant.

126. Defendant breached the implied contracts they made with Plaintiff and the Class by failing to safeguard and protect their personal information, by failing to delete the information of Plaintiff and the Class once the relationship ended, and by failing to provide timely and accurate notice to them that personal information was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

127. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied contract, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered (and will continue to suffer) ongoing, imminent, and impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; loss of the confidentiality of the stolen confidential data; the illegal sale of the compromised data on the dark web; expenses and/or time spent on credit monitoring and identity

theft insurance; time spent scrutinizing bank statements, credit card statements, and credit reports; expenses and/or time spent initiating fraud alerts, decreased credit scores and ratings; lost work time; and other economic and non-economic harm.

128. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied contract, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover actual, consequential, and nominal damages.

**COUNT III**  
**Unjust Enrichment**  
**(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Putative Rule 23 Class)**

129. Plaintiff and the Class repeat and re-allege each and every allegation in the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

130. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a monetary benefit on Defendant, by providing Defendant with their valuable PII.

131. Defendant enriched itself by saving the costs they reasonably should have expended on data security measures to secure Plaintiff' and Class Members' PII.

132. Instead of providing a reasonable level of security that would have prevented the Data Breach, Defendant instead calculated to avoid their data security obligations at the expense of Plaintiff and Class Members by utilizing cheaper, ineffective security measures. Plaintiff and Class Members, on the other hand, suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's failure to provide the requisite security.

133. Under the principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be permitted to retain the monetary value of the benefit belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members, because Defendant failed to implement appropriate data management and security measures that are mandated by industry standards.

134. Defendant acquired the monetary benefit and PII through inequitable means in that

they failed to disclose the inadequate security practices previously alleged.

135. If Plaintiff and Class Members knew that Defendant had not secured their PII, they would not have agreed to provide their PII to Defendant.

136. Plaintiff and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law.

137. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity how their PII is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PII; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from identity theft; (vi) the continued risk to their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect PII in their continued possession and (vii) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Plaintiff and Class Members.

138. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm.

139. Defendant should be compelled to disgorge into a common fund or constructive trust, for the benefit of Plaintiff and Class Members, proceeds that they unjustly received from them.

#### **COUNT IV**

**Violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (“CFA”),  
815 Ill. Comp. Stat. §§ 505/1, *et seq.*  
(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Putative Rule 23 Class)**

140. Plaintiff and the Class repeat and re-allege each and every allegation in the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

141. Plaintiff and the Class are “consumers” as defined in 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1(e). Plaintiff, the Class, and Defendant are “persons” as defined in 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1(c).

142. Defendant engaged in “trade” or “commerce,” including the provision of services, as defined under 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1(f). Defendant engages in the sale of “merchandise” (including services) as defined by 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1(b) and (d).

143. Defendant engaged in deceptive and unfair acts and practices, misrepresentation, and the concealment and omission of material facts in connection with the sale and advertisement of their services in violation of the CFA, including: (1) failing to maintain adequate data security to keep Plaintiff’s and the Class’s sensitive PII from being stolen by cybercriminals and failing to comply with applicable state and federal laws and industry standards pertaining to data security, including the FTC Act; (2) failing to disclose or omitting materials facts to Plaintiff and the Class regarding their lack of adequate data security and inability or unwillingness to properly secure and protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Class; (3) failing to disclose or omitting materials facts to Plaintiff and the Class about Defendant’s failure to comply with the requirements of relevant federal and state laws pertaining to the privacy and security of the PII of Plaintiff and the Class; and (4) failing to take proper action following the Data Breach to enact adequate privacy and security measures and protect Plaintiff and the Class’s PII and other personal information from further unauthorized disclosure, release, data breaches, and theft.

144. These actions also constitute deceptive and unfair acts or practices because Defendant knew the facts about their inadequate data security and failure to comply with applicable state and federal laws and industry standards would be unknown to and not easily discoverable by Plaintiff and the Class and defeat their reasonable expectations about the security of their PII.

145. Defendant intended that Plaintiff and the Class rely on its deceptive and unfair acts and practices and the concealment and omission of material facts in connection with Defendant's offering of goods and services.

146. Defendant's wrongful practices were and are injurious to the public because those practices were part of Defendant's generalized course of conduct that applied to the Class. Plaintiff and the Class have been adversely affected by Defendant's conduct and the public was and is at risk as a result thereof.

147. Defendant also violated 815 ILCS 505/2 by failing to immediately notify Plaintiff and the Class of the nature and extent of the Data Breach pursuant to the Illinois Personal Information Protection Act, 815 ILCS 530/1, *et seq.*

148. As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the Class were injured in that they never would have provided their PII to Defendant, or purchased Defendant's services, had they known or been told that Defendant failed to maintain sufficient security to keep their PII from being hacked and taken and misused by others.

149. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the CFA, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered harm: (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity how their PII is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PII; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of

productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from identity theft; (vi) the continued risk to their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect PII in their continued possession and (vii) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Plaintiff and Class Members.

150. Pursuant to 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/10a(a), Plaintiff and the Class seek actual and compensatory damages, injunctive relief, and court costs and attorneys' fees as a result of Defendant's violations of the CFA.

**COUNT V**  
**Invasion of Privacy**  
**(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Putative Rule 23 Class)**

151. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all other paragraphs in the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

152. Plaintiff and Class Members had a legitimate expectation of privacy regarding their Private Information and were accordingly entitled to the protection of this information against disclosure to unauthorized third parties.

153. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Member to keep their Private Information confidential.

154. The unauthorized disclosure and/or acquisition (*i.e.*, theft) by a third party of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information is highly offensive to a reasonable person.

155. Defendant's reckless and negligent failure to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information constitutes an intentional interference with Plaintiff's and the Class Members' interest in solitude or seclusion, either as to their person or as to their private affairs or concerns, of a kind that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

156. Defendant's failure to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information acted with a knowing state of mind when it permitted the Data Breach because it knew its information security practices were inadequate.

157. Defendant knowingly did not notify Plaintiff and Class Members in a timely fashion about the Data Breach.

158. Because Defendant failed to properly safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information, Defendant had notice and knew that its inadequate cybersecurity practices would cause injury to Plaintiff and the Class.

159. As a proximate result of Defendant's acts and omissions, the private and sensitive Private Information of Plaintiff and the Class Members was stolen by a third party and is now available for disclosure and redisclosure without authorization, causing Plaintiff and the Class to suffer damages.

160. Defendant's wrongful conduct will continue to cause great and irreparable injury to Plaintiff and the Class since their Private Information are still maintained by Defendant with their inadequate cybersecurity system and policies.

161. Plaintiff and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law for the injuries relating to Defendant's continued possession of their sensitive and confidential records. A judgment for monetary damages will not end Defendant's inability to safeguard the Private Information of Plaintiff and the Class.

162. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and Class Members, seeks injunctive relief to enjoin Defendant from further intruding into the privacy and confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information.

163. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and Class Members, seeks compensatory damages for Defendant's invasion of privacy, which includes the value of the privacy interest invaded by Defendant, the costs of future monitoring of their credit history for identity theft and fraud, plus prejudgment interest, and costs.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and Class Members, request judgment against Defendant and that the Court grant the following:

- A. For an Order certifying the Class, and appointing Plaintiff and her Counsel to represent the Class;
- B. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete, any accurate disclosures to Plaintiff and Class Members;
- C. For injunctive relief requested by Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiff and Class Members, including but not limited to an order:
  - i. prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the wrongful and unlawful acts described herein;
  - ii. requiring Defendant to protect, including through encryption, all data collected through the course of their business in accordance with all applicable

- regulations, industry standards, and federal, state or local laws;
- iii. requiring Defendant to delete, destroy, and purge the personal identifying information of Plaintiff and Class Members unless Defendant can provide to the Court reasonable justification for the retention and use of such information when weighed against the privacy interests of Plaintiff and Class Members;
  - iv. requiring Defendant to implement and maintain a comprehensive Information Security Program designed to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
  - v. prohibiting Defendant from maintaining the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members on a cloud-based database;
  - vi. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Defendant's systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Defendant to promptly correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security auditors;
  - vii. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run automated security monitoring;
  - viii. requiring Defendant to audit, test, and train their security personnel regarding any new or modified procedures;
  - ix. requiring Defendant to segment data by, among other things, creating firewalls and access controls so that if one area of Defendant's network is compromised, hackers cannot gain access to other portions of Defendant's systems;
  - x. requiring Defendant to conduct regular database scanning and securing checks;

- xi. requiring Defendant to establish an information security training program that includes at least annual information security training for all employees, with additional training to be provided as appropriate based upon the employees' respective responsibilities with handling personal identifying information, as well as protecting the personal identifying information of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- xii. requiring Defendant to routinely and continually conduct internal training and education, and on an annual basis to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach;
- xiii. requiring Defendant to implement a system of tests to assess its respective employees' knowledge of the education programs discussed in the preceding subparagraphs, as well as randomly and periodically testing employees compliance with Defendant's policies, programs, and systems for protecting personal identifying information;
- xiv. requiring Defendant to implement, maintain, regularly review, and revise as necessary a threat management program designed to appropriately monitor Defendant's information networks for threats, both internal and external, and assess whether monitoring tools are appropriately configured, tested, and updated;
- xv. requiring Defendant to meaningfully educate all Class Members about the threats that they face as a result of the loss of their confidential personal identifying information to third parties, as well as the steps affected individuals

- must take to protect themselves;
- xvi. requiring Defendant to implement logging and monitoring programs sufficient to track traffic to and from Defendant's servers; and for a period of 10 years, appointing a qualified and independent third party assessor to conduct a SOC 2 Type 2 attestation on an annual basis to evaluate Defendant's compliance with the terms of the Court's final judgment, to provide such report to the Court and to counsel for the class, and to report any deficiencies with compliance of the Court's final judgment;
- D. For an award of damages, including, but not limited to, actual, consequential, and nominal damages, as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;
- E. For an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowed by law;
- F. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded; and
- G. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demand that this matter be tried before a jury.

Date: August 11, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Joseph M. Lyon  
**THE LYON LAW FIRM, LLC**  
Joseph M. Lyon (OH BAR #76050)  
2754 Erie Ave.  
Cincinnati, OH 45208  
Phone: (513) 381-2333  
Fax: (513) 766-9011  
Email: [jlyon@thelyonfirm.com](mailto:jlyon@thelyonfirm.com)

Bryan L. Bleichner\*  
**CHESTNUT CAMBRONNE PA**  
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 1700  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
Phone: (612) 339-7300  
Fax: (612) 336-2940  
bbleichner@chestnutcambronne.com

*\*Pro Hac Vice Application forthcoming*

*Counsel for Plaintiff and Putative Class*

# **EXHIBIT 1**

RR DONNELLEY  
PO Box 474  
Monroe, WI 53566



0050755 01 AB 0.491 \*\*AUTO T5 3 1004 48505-337617 -C01-P50805-I



ROBIN FORSLUND  
417 E PIERSON RD  
FLINT, MI 48505-3376

August 5, 2022

Dear ROBIN FORSLUND:

We are writing to notify you of a matter that involved the unintended disclosure of some personal information about you held by R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company ("RRD"). We deeply regret this situation and are keenly aware of how important the security and privacy of your personal information is to you.

**WHAT HAPPENED?**

On December 23, 2021, RRD identified a systems intrusion in our technical environment. We promptly implemented a series of containment measures to address this situation, including activating our incident response protocols, shutting down our servers and systems and commencing a forensic investigation. We took immediate action to isolate the incident. We determined that outside actors first accessed RRD systems on November 29, 2021, but it was not initially clear whether any personal data had been accessed or removed. However, on July 12, 2022, we learned that your personal information appears to have been exfiltrated from our corporate data system.

**WHAT INFORMATION WAS INVOLVED?**

The personal information was your Social Security Number, Date of Birth.

**WHAT ARE WE DOING?**

Shortly after discovering the intrusion, RRD engaged forensic resources and other third parties to assist in its evaluation of the intrusion and shut down all impacted servers. While our investigation is complete, remediation efforts have been ongoing since discovery of the intrusion. RRD believes to the best of its knowledge that the intrusion has been removed and effective controls have been further implemented to prevent additional incidents from the attacker. Our information security response team and application quality assurance team have performed testing on our data environment prior to these systems being restored.

**WHAT CAN YOU DO?**

At this time, we are not aware of any misuse of the information. As a precautionary measure, we encourage all individuals to remain vigilant for incidence of fraud and identity theft by reviewing account statements, monitoring free credit reports, and promptly reporting any suspicious activity.

Additionally, RRD has arranged for you to enroll, at your option, in a 12 Month membership of Experian's® IdentityWorks<sup>SM</sup> at no cost to you. This product provides you with identity detection and resolution of identity



theft. To activate your membership and start monitoring your personal information please follow the steps below:

- Ensure that you **enroll by: 10/15/22** (Your code will not work after this date.)
- **Visit** the Experian IdentityWorks website to enroll: <https://www.experianidworks.com/credit>
- Provide your **activation code: 4YDN4CRTG**

If you have questions about the product, need assistance with identity restoration or would like an alternative to enrolling in Experian IdentityWorks online, please contact Experian's customer care team at 877-890-9332 by 10/15/22. Be prepared to provide RRD's engagement number B058340 as proof of eligibility for the identity restoration services by Experian.



For additional details on Experian's IdentityWorks membership, please see the enclosed instruction sheet "Additional details regarding your Experian IdentityWorks Membership."

Kindly note: Due to Experian's security measures, if you attempt to login to your account from a location outside the U.S., you may not be able to gain access to your account. If you are located outside of the U.S., please call Experian's international non-toll-free number 877-890-9332 to get account related information while located outside the U.S.

For additional information on other steps you can take, please see the enclosed sheet "ADDITIONAL STEPS TO PROTECT YOURSELF."

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deborah L. Steiner".

Deborah Steiner  
Chief Compliance Officer  
ethics@rrd.com

**ADDITIONAL DETAILS REGARDING YOUR EXPERIAN IDENTITYWORKS MEMBERSHIP:**

A credit card is **not** required for enrollment in Experian IdentityWorks.

You can contact Experian **immediately** regarding any fraud issues, and have access to the following features once you enroll in Experian IdentityWorks:

- **Experian credit report at signup:** See what information is associated with your credit file. Daily credit reports are available for online members only. \*
- **Credit Monitoring:** Actively monitors Experian file for indicators of fraud.
- **Identity Restoration:** Identity Restoration agents are immediately available to help you address credit and non-credit related fraud.
- **Experian IdentityWorks ExtendCARE™:** You receive the same high-level of Identity Restoration support even after your Experian IdentityWorks membership has expired.
- **Up to \$1 Million Identity Theft Insurance\*\*:** Provides coverage for certain costs and unauthorized electronic fund transfers.

If you believe there was fraudulent use of your information and would like to discuss how you may be able to resolve those issues, please reach out to an Experian agent at **877-890-9332**. If, after discussing your situation with an agent, it is determined that Identity Restoration support is needed, then an Experian Identity Restoration agent is available to work with you to investigate and resolve each incident of fraud that occurred (including, as appropriate, helping you with contacting credit grantors to dispute charges and close accounts; assisting you in placing a freeze on your credit file with the three major credit bureaus; and assisting you with contacting government agencies to help restore your identity to its proper condition).

Please note that this Identity Restoration support is available to you for one year from the date of this letter and does not require any action on your part at this time. The Terms and Conditions for this offer are located at [www.ExperianIDWorks.com/restoration](http://www.ExperianIDWorks.com/restoration). You will also find self-help tips and information about identity protection at this site.

\* Offline members will be eligible to call for additional reports quarterly after enrolling

\*\* The Identity Theft Insurance is underwritten and administered by American Bankers Insurance Company of Florida, an Assurant company. Please refer to the actual policies for terms, conditions, and exclusions of coverage. Coverage may not be available in all jurisdictions



## ADDITIONAL STEPS TO PROTECT YOURSELF

### Directions for Placing a Fraud Alert or Credit Freeze

**Fraud Alerts:** You may want to consider placing an initial fraud alert on your credit file. A fraud alert tells creditors to contact you before they open any new accounts or change your existing accounts. Consumers may ask that an initial fraud alert be placed on their credit report if they suspect they have been, or are about to be, a victim of identity theft. An initial fraud alert stays on credit reports for at least one year. An extended alert may be placed on credit reports if consumers have already been a victim of identity theft, with the appropriate documentary proof, and stays on credit reports for seven years. Consumers may place a fraud alert on their credit reports online or by contacting the toll-free fraud number of any of the three national credit reporting agencies listed below.

**Credit Freezes:** Consumers have the right to place a credit freeze or security freeze (referred to as "credit freeze") on their consumer reports. A credit freeze is designed to prevent credit, loans and services from being approved in a consumer's name without the consumer's consent. Using a credit freeze, however, may delay ability to obtain credit. Consumers may request that a freeze be placed on credit reports by sending a request to a credit reporting agency on-line or by certified mail, overnight mail or regular stamped mail to the three national reporting agencies listed below. Unlike a fraud alert, a credit freeze must be separately placed on a consumer's credit file at each credit reporting company. More information can be obtained about fraud alerts and credit freezes by contacting the FTC or one of the national credit reporting agencies listed below.

Equifax: 877-478-7625 [www.equifax.com](http://www.equifax.com); PO Box 740241, Atlanta GA, 30374-0241  
Experian: 888-397-3742 [www.experian.com](http://www.experian.com); PO Box 9532, Allen TX 75013  
TransUnion LLC: 800-680-7289 [www.transunion.com](http://www.transunion.com); PO Box 6790, Fullerton CA 92834

### Directions for Obtaining a Credit Report

Please remember that while this matter may not involve significant risk, it is always good practice to take sensible steps to protect yourself by regularly reviewing your account statements and your credit report. As you may know, under federal law, you are entitled to one free copy of your credit report every 12 months from each of the major credit reporting agencies. You may obtain a free copy of your credit report by calling 1-877-FACT ACT (1-877-322-8228) or by visiting [www.annualcreditreport.com](http://www.annualcreditreport.com). Once you receive your reports, review them carefully for inquiries from companies you did not contact, accounts you did not open, and debts on your accounts that you cannot explain. Verify the accuracy of your Social Security number, address(es), full name and employer(s). Notify the credit reporting companies if any information is incorrect.

### Additional Resources

Additional information on identity theft is available from the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). Consumers may obtain information about preventing and avoiding identity theft from the Consumer Response Center, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580, 1-877-IDTHEFT (438-4338), <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/>.

**IF YOU ARE A MARYLAND RESIDENT:** You may contact the Office of the Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division, 200 St. Paul Place, Baltimore, MD 21202, (888) 743-0023, [marylandattorneygeneral.gov](http://marylandattorneygeneral.gov)

**IF YOU ARE A NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENT:** You may contact the North Carolina Department of Justice, 9001 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-9001, (877) 566-7226, [ncdoj.com](http://ncdoj.com)

**IF YOU ARE AN RHODE ISLAND RESIDENT:** This incident affected approximately 47 Rhode Island residents. You may also obtain information about preventing identity theft from the Rhode Island Attorney General's Office at 150 South Main Street, Providence, RI 02903, (401) 274-4400, [riag.ri.gov](http://riag.ri.gov)

**IF YOU ARE A NEW YORK RESIDENT:** You may contact the New York Department of State's Division of Consumer Protection. This office can be reached at 1 (800) 697-1220 or [www.dos.ny.gov/consumerprotection](http://www.dos.ny.gov/consumerprotection). The New York City office is located at 123 William Street, New York, NY 10038-3804. The Albany office is located at One Commerce Plaza, 99 Washington Ave., Albany, NY 12231-0001.

**IF YOU ARE A DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RESIDENT:** You may contact the D.C. Attorney General's Office of Consumer Protection, 441 4th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001, (202) 442-9828, [oag.dc.gov/consumer-protection](http://oag.dc.gov/consumer-protection)